<u>REMARKS</u>

This amendment is presented in response to the Office Communication dated

June 27, 2006. In the Office Communication, the Examiner issued a restriction

requirement, indicating that Claims 1-8 (Species 1), Claims 9-15 (Species 2), Claims

16-22 (Species 3), and Claims 23-26 (Species 4) were patentably distinct species.

In response, Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's

characterization of the patentability of the Claims as filed. Nonetheless, in an effort to

expedite prosecution of this matter, and without adopting the characterization of the

Claims provided in the Action, Applicant elects Claims 1-8 (Species 1) for prosecution

herein.

Additionally, a preliminary amendment has been made: claims 5 and 6 have

been amended to correct an editorial error; a new set of article claims (claims 27-34)

have been added. Newly added claims 27-34 recites limitations similar to those recited

in claims 1-8. Thus, claims 1-8 and claims 27-34 are not patentably distinct.

Application No.: 10/723,135

Filed: 11/25/2003

Page 6 of 7 Examiner: Martinez, D.
Art Unit: 2181

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully asserted that all of the claims pending in this patent application are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (503) 264-1700. Early issuance of a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

/Guojun Zhou/ Guojun Zhou Patent Agent Intel Corporation Registration No. 56,478 (503) 264-1700

c/o Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman, LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

Application No.: 10/723,135

Filed: 11/25/2003

Page 7 of 7 Examiner: Martinez, D.

Art Unit: 2181